UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,412	06/20/2006	Masashi Otsuki	Q95438	3565
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 08/19/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/583,412	OTSUKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Chuo	1795
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tte, cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1,6,7,10 and 11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,6,7,10 and 11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific path or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	n □	VII. (DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)	Date

Art Unit: 1795

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/21/10 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 10, and 11 are currently pending. Claims 2-5, 8, and 9 are cancelled. The amended claims do overcome the previously stated 102 and 103 rejections. However, upon further consideration, claims 1, 6, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under the following new 102 and 103 rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1795

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Otsuki et al (WO/2003/005479) using (US 2004/0191635) as an equivalent English translation.

The Otsuki reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte cell comprising: a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and an electrolyte comprising: an aprotic organic solvent such as ethylene carbonate (boiling point=238°C), propylene carbonate, dimethyl carbonate (boiling point=90°C), ethyl methyl carbonate (boiling point=108°C), diethyl carbonate (boiling point=127°C), wherein a combination of two or more aprotic organic solvents is preferable; a support salt (Abstract and paragraph [0212]), and a phosphazene derivative represented by general formula (3) (paragraphs [0191]-[0192]). It also discloses that the phosphazene derivative is a mixture of components in which the ratio of methoxy group (MO) to fluorine (F) in all Xs of the formula (3) (MO/F ratio) is 2/4, 3/3, and 4/2 (paragraph [0259]), wherein the phosphazene derivative is mixed with an aprotic organic solvent (mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (boiling point=238°C) and diethyl carbonate (boiling point=127°C)) (paragraph [0264]). Examiner's note: The boiling point of a phosphazene derivative having a MO/F ratio of 3/3 is 230°C as disclosed in paragraph [0084] of the specification of the present invention which corresponds to the boiling point of ethylene carbonate. In addition, the examiner takes

Art Unit: 1795

the position that the boiling point of a phosphazene derivative having a MO/F ratio of 2/4 is inherently not more than 25°C from the boiling point of diethyl carbonate. Burden is on applicants to show differences in product comparison.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuki et al (WO/2003/005479) using (US 2004/0191635) as an equivalent English translation.

The Otsuki reference discloses a non-aqueous electrolyte cell comprising: a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and an electrolyte comprising: an aprotic organic solvent such as ethylene carbonate (boiling point=238°C), propylene carbonate (boiling point=242°C), dimethyl carbonate (boiling point=90°C), ethyl methyl carbonate (boiling point=108°C), diethyl carbonate (boiling point=127°C), wherein a combination of two or more aprotic organic solvents is preferable; a support salt (Abstract and paragraph [0212]); and a phosphazene derivative represented by general formula (3) (paragraphs [0191]-[0192]). It also discloses that the phosphazene derivative is a mixture of components in which the ratio of methoxy group (MO) to fluorine (F) in all Xs of the formula (3) (MO/F ratio) is 2/4, 3/3, and 4/2 (paragraph [0259]), wherein the

Art Unit: 1795

phosphazene derivative is mixed with an aprotic organic solvent (mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (boiling point=238°C) and diethyl carbonate (boiling point=127°C)) (paragraph [0264]). Examiner's note: The boiling point of a phosphazene derivative having a MO/F ratio of 3/3 is 230°C as disclosed in paragraph [0084] of the specification of the present invention.

However, Otsuki et al does not expressly teach each aprotic organic solvent that has a difference of a boiling point from that of at least one phosphazene compound of not more than 25°C.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Ootsuki electrolyte to include each aprotic organic solvent that has a difference of a boiling point from that of at least one phosphazene compound of not more than 25°C because it would have been obvious to try a mixture of known aprotic organic solvents for forming a self-extinguishing, fire retardant, incombustible electrolyte solution with a reasonable expectation of success. For example, a mixture of ethylene carbonate and propylene carbonate would have boiling points of not more than 25°C from the boiling point of a phosphazene derivative having a MO/F ratio of 3/3.

8. Claims 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuki et al (WO/2003/005479) using (US 2004/0191635) as an equivalent English translation as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Otsuki et al (WO/2003/005478) using (US 2004/0192853) as an equivalent English translation.

However, Otsuki '479 does not expressly teach a polymer cell comprising an electrolyte comprising a polymer. The Otsuki '478 reference discloses a polymer cell comprising a polymer electrolyte containing a polymer and a phosphazene derivative (Abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Otsuki '479 non-aqueous electrolyte cell to include a polymer cell comprising an electrolyte comprising a polymer in order to utilize a cell that has excellent low temperature discharge property and high temperature storage property (paragraph [0095]). In addition, the substitution of one known type of electrochemical cell for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, 10, and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571)272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Michener can be reached on (571) 272-1424. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1795

TC

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ula C Ruddock/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795